

## RESOLUTION NO. 2018-\_\_

### **A RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF LAKE ELSINORE, CALIFORNIA, RECOMMENDING THAT THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF LAKE ELSINORE, CALIFORNIA, ADOPT FINDINGS THAT TENTATIVE TRACT MAP NO. 37319 IS CONSISTENT WITH THE WESTERN RIVERSIDE COUNTY MULTIPLE SPECIES HABITAT CONSERVATION PLAN (MSHCP)**

**Whereas**, Abraham Gottlieb, Lake Elsinore Diamond Road, LLC, has filed an application with the City of Lake Elsinore (City) requesting approval Tentative Tract Map (TTM) No. 37319 for a one-lot subdivision of a 0.50-acre lot for condominium purposes (Project). The Project will allow the conversion of a building currently under construction into a six (6)-unit commercial condominium building. The Project is located northerly of Campbell Street, on the easterly side of Diamond Drive, and westerly of Mission Trail, more specifically referred to as 433 Diamond Drive. (APN: 363-162-027); and,

**Whereas**, Section 6.0 of the Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan (MSHCP) requires that all discretionary projects within a MSHCP Criteria Cell undergo the Lake Elsinore Acquisition Process (LEAP) and the Joint Project Review (JPR) to analyze the scope of the proposed development and establish a building envelope that is consistent with the MSHCP criteria; and,

**Whereas**, Section 6.0 of the MSHCP further requires that the City adopt consistency findings demonstrating that the proposed discretionary entitlement complies with the MSHCP Criteria Cell, and the MSHCP goals and objectives; and,

**Whereas**, pursuant to Lake Elsinore Municipal Code (LEMC) Chapter 16.24 (Tentative Map) the Planning Commission (Commission) has been delegated with the responsibility of making recommendations to the City Council (Council) pertaining to the tentative map review; and,

**Whereas**, on August 21, 2018, at a duly noticed Public Hearing the Commission has considered evidence presented by the Community Development Department and other interested parties with respect to this item.

### **NOW THEREFORE, THE PLANNING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF LAKE ELSINORE DOES HEREBY RESOLVE, DETERMINE AND ORDER AS FOLLOWS:**

**Section 1:** The Commission has considered the Project and its consistency with the MSHCP prior to recommending that the Council adopt Findings of Consistency with the MSHCP.

**Section 2:** That in accordance with the MSHCP, the Commission makes the following findings for MSHCP consistency:

1. The Project is a project under the City's MSHCP Resolution, and the City must make an MSHCP Consistency finding before approval.

*Pursuant to the City's MSHCP Resolution, the Project is required to be reviewed for MSHCP consistency, including consistency with other "Plan Wide Requirements." The Project site is not located within a MSHCP Criteria Cell. Based upon the site reconnaissance survey there are no issues regarding consistency with the other "Plan Wide Requirements." The only requirements potentially applicable to the Project were the Protection of Species Associated with Riparian/Riverine Areas and Vernal Pool Guidelines (Section 6.1.2 of the MSHCP) and*

*payment of the MSHCP Local Development Mitigation Fee (Section 4 of the MSHCP Ordinance).*

2. The Project is subject to the City's LEAP and the Western Riverside County Regional Conservation Authority's (RCA) Joint Project Review processes.

*The Project is located within the MSHCP Elsinore Area Plan but is not located within a MSHCP Criteria Cell. Therefore, the project was not required to go through the LEAP or JPR processes*

3. The Project is consistent with the Riparian/Riverine Areas and Vernal Pools Guidelines.

*The Project has been completely disturbed for the development of a professional commercial office that is currently under construction. The proposed Tentative Map is only proposing to convert the 0.50-acre lot into a one (1)-condominium lot with six (6) units for financing purposes. As such, the Riparian/Riverine Areas and Vernal Pool Guidelines as set forth in Section 6.1.2 of the MSHCP are not applicable.*

4. The Project is consistent with the Protection of Narrow Endemic Plant Species Guidelines.

*The site does not fall within any Narrow Endemic Plant Species Survey Areas. Neither a habitat assessment nor further focused surveys are required for the Project. Therefore, Protection of Narrow Endemic Plant Species Guidelines as set forth in Section 6.1.3 of the MSHCP are not applicable to the Project.*

5. The Project is consistent with the Additional Survey Needs and Procedures.

*The MSHCP only requires additional surveys for certain species if the Project is located in Criteria Area Species Survey Areas, Amphibian Species Survey Areas, Burrowing Owl Survey Areas, and Mammal Species Survey Areas of the MSHCP. The Project site is not located within any of the Critical Species Survey Areas. Therefore, the provisions of MSHCP Section 6.3.2 are not applicable.*

6. The Project is consistent with the Urban/Wildlands Interface Guidelines.

*The Project site is not within or adjacent to any MSHCP criteria or conservation areas. Therefore, the Urban/Wildlands Interface Guidelines of MSHCP Section 6.1.4 are not applicable.*

7. The Project is consistent with the Vegetation Mapping requirements.

*The Project has been completely disturbed for the development of a professional commercial office that is currently under construction. The proposed Tentative Map is only proposing to convert the 0.50-acre lot into a one (1)-condominium lot with six (6) units for financing purposes. There are no resources located on the Project site, requiring mapping as set forth in MSHCP Section 6.3.1.*

8. The Project is consistent with the Fuels Management Guidelines.

*The Project site is not within or adjacent to any MSHCP criteria or conservation areas. Therefore, the Fuels Management Guidelines of MSHCP Section 6.4 are not applicable.*

9. The Project will be conditioned to pay the City's MSHCP Local Development Mitigation Fee.

*As a condition of approval, the Project will be required to pay the City's MSHCP Local Development Mitigation Fee at the time of issuance of building permits.*

10. The Project is consistent with the MSHCP.

*The Project has been completely disturbed for the development of a professional commercial office that is currently under construction. The proposed Tentative Map is only proposing to convert the 0.50-acre lot into a one (1)-condominium lot with six (6) units for financing purposes. As described above, the Project complies with all application MSHCP requirements.*

**Section 3:** Based upon the evidence presented, both written and testimonial, and the above findings, the Commission hereby recommends that the Council find that the Project is consistent with the MSHCP.

**Section 4:** This Resolution shall take effect immediately upon its adoption.

**Passed and Adopted** on this 21<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2018, by the following vote:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Myles Ross, Chairman

**Attest:**

\_\_\_\_\_  
Justin Kirk,  
Assistant Community Development Director

STATE OF CALIFORNIA            )  
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE        ) ss.  
CITY OF LAKE ELSINORE        )

I, Justin Kirk, Assistant Community Development Director of the City of Lake Elsinore, California, hereby certify that Resolution No. 2018-\_\_ was adopted by the Planning Commission of the City of Lake Elsinore, California, at a regular meeting held on the 21<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2018 and that the same was adopted by the following vote:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSTAIN:

ABSENT:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Justin Kirk,  
Assistant Community Development Director